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Writing during the onslaught of the COVID-19 pandemic across the globe, I cannot help but wonder about what art can practically do facing such a massive health crisis and the accompanying political, economic, and social setbacks. The pandemic has almost brought the entire human world to a total stop for several months, with some countries enduring longer lockdown periods than others. As the usual modes of operations in human societies are interrupted by the pandemic, new modes of thinking, action, and social interaction have emerged as people try to understand, approach, and communicate and find alternatives solutions to meet their daily needs, conduct daily business, and move on with their life by building supportive networks and recreating communities. While face-to-face physical communication in real life has largely been halted, virtual communication via social media platforms such as WeChat, Zoom, and other channels has flourished to an unprecedented level. Meeting and interacting online has become the new norm of human communication across the world. It is safe to argue that the internet has saved the human society from being entirely paralyzed with both private and public spaces that it provides for human communication to continue without the fear of spreading or being infected by the coronavirus.

This time also witnesses the unprecedented growth of online presence for art. Major art museums worldwide have opened free online access or simulated tours one after another, enabling people to appreciate prestigious collections of artworks without leaving the precinct of their residence. Curators and artists have organized online art exhibitions as an alternative to the usual exhibitions taking place in a specific physical location. Worldwide, the art communities, like other sectors of our society, have gone online and relied on digital technology to present their art. Some take the opportunity to create art that makes life under quarantine the subject matter of their work. The pandemic has propelled new modes of communication as well as new ways of making and disseminating art. On the one hand, the pandemic has heightened and widened previously existing conflicts and social divisions within nation-states, for which the United States presents a good example. On the other hand, it has also deepened and worsened difference and mistrust among the international communities formed by individual nation-states, for which the deteriorating relationship between the United States and China is a good case in point. At the same time, human beings also face an unprecedented opportunity to unite because the virus does not differentiate people based on their nationality, education, color of skin, wealth, religious belief, social status, and the like
categories that the human society has invented to differentiate social members and bestow corresponding treatments. All these categorizations have proven useless in preventing the spread of COVID-19, which should be a timely reminder that after all, we human beings, regardless of where we come from, share more similarities than differences as one organic living species.

This is particularly true when one consider the main themes of numerous online exhibitions being organized since the outbreak of COVID-19. More often than not, art communities across the globe have expressed similar sense of mourning for the loss of innocent lives, compassion for people living under the threat of the virus, support for medical personnel and volunteers who worked to rescue infected patients, and critique of the politicization of the pandemic by politicians and the manipulation of information for social control that promote misunderstanding, mistrust, and misinformation among citizens. In addition, many artworks have expressed certain universal feelings such as uncertainty, vulnerability, and fragility of life as well as hope for life, resilience, understanding, and unity. While institutionalized politics, those led by nation-states in a top-down fashion, seem to have predicted the worst of societal differences at the time of crisis, the art communities, especially those formed by individuals and organizations in a bottom-up fashion, aspire for the best of humanities to confront the unprecedented health crisis of human history. Simply put, while state-led politics dismay, divide, misinform, and disempower people, art actually seeks to encourage, unite, inform, and empower people in order to turn them into new publics or create new publics that take the matter into their own hands. It is the faith in these potentials of art to convey similar humanistic needs, to establish new connection among people, and to stimulate new ideas and actions for better life for all that sustain my continuous work with this anthology, which presents new research on socially engaged public art from East Asia.
One of the most significant aspects of the emerging scholarly discourse around socially engaged art over the past decade has been its capacity to unsettle the established, and frankly Eurocentric, historiographic traditions that have long characterized research in this field. *Socially Engaged Public Art in East Asia: Space, Place and Community in Action*, which offers the first comprehensive survey of new forms of socially engaged art in the region, makes an important contribution to this growing body of work. Engaged art has always been a distinctly global affair, even if historians and critics have often relied on a heliocentric narrative in which a handful of North American and European artists brought it into existence out of the “dematerialized” art practices of the 1960s and ’70s. As significant as these precedents have been, it is important to bear in mind that socially engaged art didn’t simply emerge sui generis over the past few decades. Rather, it marks only the most recent manifestation of a desire to engage creatively with the norms of aesthetic and artistic autonomy that extends back to the nineteenth century. It is evident in Daumier’s lithographs following the July Revolution and in the Arts and Crafts movement during the 1860s and ’70s, in the prefigurative cultural politics of the Paris Commune and the anarchist illustrations of the Neo-Impressionists, in the revival of khadi cloth in the Indian Independence movement and in the prints of El Taller de Gráfica Popular in Mexico, in Iri and Toshi Maruki’s *Hiroshima Panels* and in Augusto Boal’s Theater of the Oppressed, and in Emory Douglas’s images for the Black Panther Party and the productions of El Teatro Campesino.

As these examples suggest, we can identify a rich set of precedents for engaged art in the longer history of anti-colonial cultural struggles across the global south. The conventional European avant-garde often sought to generate dissent through a symbolic attack on the normative values of the bourgeois artworld. This was intended as a displaced critique of the forms of political domination on which the institutional artworld itself depended. In this tradition, critique must remain indirect in order to elude the forms of cooption that would, ostensibly, result from any attempt to align artistic practice more directly with forms of social or political action. We encounter, as well, a tendency in the European avant-garde to dismiss any form of popular culture as inherently corrupt, and therefore incapable of sustaining forms of critical or creative consciousness. The traditions of anti-colonial resistance often emerged
in societies less structured through the conventional modernist schism between popular culture and fine art, associated with the European bourgeoisie. Rather, we encounter a situation in which popular culture (not yet so thoroughly commodified), still retains a meaningful grounding in the lifeworld of the poor and working class. As a result, it is available to be transformed, and mobilized, in conjunction with political resistance to colonialist domination.

We can observe this complex process in Gandhi’s cultural activism during the fight for Indian independence. Here Gandhi made use of Indian traditions such as the chakra or spinning wheel and khadi cloth to assemble a set of politically charged symbolic armatures. The domestic spinning of cotton, which was proscribed by the British in order to force India to accept its foreign exports, was a key example. In response Gandhi sought to literally embody resistance by linking it with the physical performance of spinning cotton (which was required for all members of the Indian National Congress). In this manner he sought to not only contest British cultural domination, but also to level the class and caste distinctions between elite congress members and the Indian poor and working class. For Gandhi the most powerful tools of imperial domination were not economic or military, but cultural, evident in the tendency of upper caste Indians to adopt British or European fashion. Thus, the decision to wear simple, khadi cloth garments constituted a form of sartorial activism that also encouraged trans-caste solidarity.\footnote{Peter Gonsalvez, \textit{Khadi: Gandhi’s Mega Symbol of Subversion}, (New Delhi: Sage Press, 2012).}

Famously, Gandhi himself created a scandal when he wore a simple khadi dhoti for an audience with Queen Mary and King George V at Buckingham Palace in 1931. This same performative element is evident in his various perambulations, most famously the Salt March of 1930 in which he, along with thousands of followers, illegally harvested salt on the coast of the Arabian Sea. It is this same tradition of embodied activism that helped inspire the marches and occupations that occurred in the American south as part of the Civil Rights movement of the 1960s, such as the influential March from Selma to Montgomery in 1965.

As noted above, the mobilization of prosaic forms of cultural production (weaving, fashion, gospel music in the Civil Rights movement, etc.) also signals the extent to which anti-colonial activism challenges the cultural hierarchy of the avant-garde. Rather than viewing these practices as symptoms of kitsch-like vulgarity (Greenberg) or repressive de-sublimation (Adorno), they are seen...
as essential elements in generating a broad-based resistance to colonialist domination. We can identify a parallel tendency in the Black Arts movement, which challenged the normalized racial and class conventions of the avant-garde during the 1960s by employing popular cultural forms drawn from the African diaspora and graphic arts practices associated with the Mexican Revolution.² We also encounter, within the broader history of anti-colonial resistance, a partial movement away from the conventions of the Leninist vanguard, with its normalization of cathartic revolutionary violence. This is evident in Gandhi’s commitment to *ahimsa* or non-violence, which was inspired in turn by Maori traditions of passive resistance epitomized by the pacifist settlement at Parihaka.³ Ahimsa would, of course, go on to influence Martin Luther King, Jr. and the American Civil Rights movement in turn. Finally, we encounter a challenge to the hierarchical authority of the revolutionary party, and a commitment to the collective elucidation of critical insight, in a number of influential practices in Latin America, including Paulo Freire’s model of critical pedagogy, Augusto Boals’s Theater of the Oppressed and the Zapatista Army of National Liberation. Throughout all of these practices we can identify a desire to break down conventional cultural boundaries and to nurture forms of embodied, performative resistance that combine both tactical and prefigurative elements in a manner that mirrors developments in engaged art since the 1960s.

These traditions have a particular salience for the forms of engaged art practice documented in this study. Here it is necessary to note that engaged art in East Asia is produced at the intersection of a complex set of both residual and emergent ideological formations. The first, as I’ve already noted, involves the history of European colonialist domination, which has extended into neo-colonialist ideologies that remain active to the present day in western attitudes towards the region. The second is the effect of various forms of state communism or socialism, evident most obviously in the People’s Republic of China, and the evolution of the Chinese Communist Party (CCP). This informed a series of regional tensions throughout the Cold War period, as East Asia became a central theater of influence and contestation between China, Russia, the U.S. and Western Europe. The third factor entails the growing influence over the past


four decades of neo-liberal capitalism, which has taken root across the region, including in the PRC itself, which has transformed itself into a quasi-state capitalist system. In each case we can observe a process by which these ideological and institutional forces took up an antagonistic relationship to existing forms of collective experience and identity. Thus, the CCP, with its imperative to produce, ex nihilo, a Communist “New Man” or “New Woman,” was just as willing to obliterate earlier Chinese cultural traditions as the most enthusiastic proponent of market-based globalization. And yet, these indigenous traditions, of mutual aid and “vernacular wisdom,” of sustainability and “locally-specific” knowledge, have survived, and remain strikingly salient today as part of the “East Asian grassroots regionalism” that is so eloquently documented in this anthology.

The appeal to local or regional practices suggests the relative isolation of this work from broader currents of social change or political transformation. However, socially engaged art practices can also exhibit a nuanced understanding of the complex interaction between micro-political gestures and macro-political forces. Much of this work is based, in fact, on a temporal paradigm of political transformation that breaks with the syntagmatic mythos of revolution as an instantaneous and all-encompassing rupture. Instead, it acknowledges the necessarily diachronic and capillary nature of change, running from the incremental transformation of the individual consciousness through forms of praxis which seek to produce concrete changes in existing political and social structures at the local, regional and even transnational level. Each stage along this trajectory carries a generative and creative potential that exists in a dialogical relation with more extensive or expansive forms of social change. This accounts for the distinctive scalar nature of engaged art projects, which unfold along a continuum that runs from small scale interactions among a handful of interlocutors to projects that can involve dozens or even hundreds of participants. This is evident in a number of the projects discussed in this volume, from the subtle disruptions of gender norms in Bao Ho’s street art, to the occupation of Gwanghwamun Plaza in Seoul by hundreds of artists to protest then-president Park Geun-hye’s persecution of his critics.

As I noted above, one of the key historical vectors necessary for understanding the significance of engaged art in East Asia can be found in the distinctive cultural practices associated with the traditions of anti-colonial resistance. Three factors are of particular relevance here. The first, as noted above, entails the creative mobilization of popular or prosaic cultural forms (storytelling, graffiti, Haka hill songs, gardening, karaoke, cooking, etc.) as a set of symbolic resources through which communities threatened by large-scale development projects (both urban and rural) might challenge their erasure by the state. We can observe this process in Chuen Lung’s work in Hong Kong and Ou Ning’s Bishan Commune,
among many other examples. The second factor entails a commitment to both the tactical and the prefigurative dimensions of activist practice, which is reflected in a concern with non-hierarchical forms of decision-making and collective forms of creative production. This tendency is evident in projects such as Space Beam, where the participating artists became directly involved with anti-gentrification struggles in Incheon, while also creating a series of participatory programs that sought to preserve local history and cultural traditions. We can observe it as well in the traditions of mutual aid that were mobilized in the Dinghaiqiao Mutual-Aid Society. Here the participants drew on the legacy of the Prolekult movement that emerged in Russia during the 1920s, and influenced subsequent developments in China during the 1930s, to challenge conventional forms of artistic subjectivity through a concept of amateurism that seeks to reveal the creative potential inherent in quotidian forms of experience.

Finally, due in part to the heightened level of control exercised over the public sphere in several countries in the region, we encounter a commitment to non-violence in East Asian engaged art. We can observe this in Wu Mali’s long-term environmental works produced during the post-Martial Law period in Taiwan, which abjure direct action and instead focus on extended forms of pedagogical interaction. We can observe it as well in the various projects associated with the Team of Migrant Worker’s initiative in Beijing, which sought to mobilize informal or migrant workers whose existence is highly precarious. In this view migrant workers in China constitute something like a contemporary proletariat, whose plight has been “de-politicized” as the CCP has prioritized social stability over social justice, implementing a “no debate” (bu zhenglun) policy which stifles any acknowledgement of the systemic nature of their suffering. Here we encounter the ambivalent legacy of the Maoist period, as artists seeking to validate projects which might be seen as indirectly critical of the CCP invoke Maoist ideals of “art for the masses,” exemplified by the Yan’an Forum on Literature and Art of 1942. At the same time, any project that implies, even indirectly, that significant class divisions still exist in China after 70 years of CCP rule will risk censure. For this reason, artists in mainland China must tread a cautious line in the forms of criticality they promote. Yan’an, of course, was also the source of the infamous “Rectification Campaign” of the early 1940s which sought to root out Mao’s rivals and consolidate his hold on power through techniques of “thought reform” (struggle sessions, etc.) that laid the groundwork for the Cultural Revolution over two decades later. The Migrant Workers programs epitomize the complex negotiations necessary for engaged art practices to be sustainable in the context of East Asia. Throughout all of the examples discussed in this book we can observe artists and art collectives seeking to understand the cultural politics at work in specific communities in order to work alongside their residents in a manner that has emancipatory and creative potential both
now and in the future. These projects have a great deal to teach us about the evolving nature of socially engaged art more generally, and will provide a valuable addition to the emerging scholarship on engaged art in the Caribbean, Latin America, Eastern Europe, Africa and beyond.\textsuperscript{4}
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Public art in action

Meiqin Wang
California State University, Northridge

Abstract
The introduction chapter contextualizes the rise of Socially Engaged Public Art (SEPA) in East Asia and illustrates how it contributes to the growth of translocal public spaces, fosters vibrant interactions of art and activism across the region, and essentially produces informal life politics. It posits that public art in East Asia has ventured into an expanded field of practices, a site of new possibilities where disparate domains such as aesthetics, sustainability, placemaking, social justice and inclusion, and politics mingle. In their efforts to tackle common challenges of ordinary living, the chapter argues, SEPA practitioners have engaged in a paradigm shift from perceiving art as “being” to art as “doing” as they strive to create opportunities for alternative encounters, multidisciplinary collaborations, and collective actions that enable people to work together to activate space, place, and community that matter to the everyday life of ordinary people.

Keywords: Socially Engaged Public Art (SEPA), artivism, translocal public spaces, regional connectivity, ordinary living, informal life politics

Introduction
Art, like other cultural forms created by human beings, constantly evolves along with the evolution of human society as it responds and sometimes partakes in the changing social conditions that involve politics, religion, economy, technology, etc. This is particularly so with public art, a category of art that is supposed to respond more keenly to the changing public needs than any other artistic categories due to the nature of it being closely associated with the public space. Originally a manifestation of the values and aesthetic standards upheld by the dominant power or elite social groups in a nation, city, or town, public art has become evidently more discursive, disparate, and diverse worldwide in the past several decades. Many grassroots individuals and organizations have employed public art to challenge the status quo of
established values and aesthetic norms in a bottom-up manner in order to make art more relevant to the lives of ordinary people, to formulate new social and power relations, to foster new ways of life, to establish alternative value systems, or to solve concrete everyday problems, among others.

Specifically, the practice of public art has gone through significant transformation from mainly consisting of monuments, sculptures, and murals as objects for commemoration or aesthetic appreciation in parks, plazas, and other important public areas in the cities to a wide range of socially engaged public art (SEPA)\(^1\) that can take place everywhere, such as a rundown house in an old urban neighborhood, a remote mountainous rural village, or a common everyday living space. Initially known as new genre public art, this paradigm shift was first articulated in depth by Suzanne Lacy and her colleagues in the early 1990s in their discussion of new practices of public art in the United States.\(^2\) Philosopher David H. Fisher, inspired by the emerging new genre public art, commented positively the development of art “as a vehicle for establishing connections at multiple levels and through varied media between persons and communities.”\(^3\) Adopting people-oriented, community-based, and multi-medium approach to establish multi-level connections is indeed the ground-breaking contribution of new genre public art, which has inspired continuous interest in SEPA ever since. Across the Euro-American societies, we see artists and art collectives engaging in new ways of making public art in order to expand its capability for public engagement and social intervention.\(^4\) This is accompanied by a growing body of publications from scholars who seek to articulate, theorize, and debate over the histories, modalities, potentials, and

---

\(^1\) Socially engaged public art (SEPA) is used here to differentiate itself from a more well-known term, socially engaged art (SEA). Although in many instances SEPA and SEA are overlapping and interchangeable, I propose that SEPA can be seen as part of SEA. The object of comparison for SEA is the practice of art as a whole while the point of departure for SEPA is specifically the traditional public art represented by monuments and sculptural installations in established public spaces.


\(^4\) See Cartiere and Zebracki, *The Everyday Practice of Public Art*. 
limitations of art that seeks to engage with the public and broaden participation from ordinary citizens not necessarily familiar with the profession of art.\textsuperscript{5}

On the other side of the Pacific Ocean, art professionals from various countries and regions in East Asia have been active participants of this global development of SEPA since the 2000s with their art-led social practices that involve creative ways to engage with specific sites, local communities, and the broad public in both urban and rural areas. In their practices, public art is no longer limited to producing physical objects to propagate the mainstream ideology or beautify established urban public spaces. Rather, it can take any or the combination of many established or novel cultural forms such as art exhibitions, workshops, cooperatives, dialogues, educational programs, ethnographic research, festivals, gardening, and performances. The primary purpose for artists and their collaborators who embrace SEPA is to enable the participation of people from all walks of life through artistic and creative means. Their public art calls for processes, experiences, and new forms of publicness that foster exchanges and collaborations among the publics for various civic, environmental, and political discourses such as community building, sustainable development, and historical and social justice. Along the way, existing public spaces are often repurposed and new forms of social interactions, civic spaces, and publicness invented through the collective endeavors of art professionals and citizens of various professional, social, and cultural backgrounds.

Translocal public spaces and SEPA activism in East Asia

This new development has rapidly expanded and complicated the public art scene in East Asia, which by the turn of the twenty-first century was still dominated by monuments and sculptures commissioned by official institutions or commercial establishments. Benefited from the accelerated process of globalization, in which transnational communications have become

the norm and ideas have travelled at a much faster speed, art professionals have not only taken inspiration from new artistic theories and practices in the Euro-American contexts but also from their own Eastern Asian neighbors. For example, the practice of employing art to revitalize declined rural regions in Japan has greatly influenced similar undertakings in Taiwan, as discussed by Wei Hsiu Tung in Chapter 4. Then, the advancement of SEPA in both Japan and Taiwan is a source of inspiration for art professionals from mainland China and there is a constant, albeit small, flow of artists, curators, and scholars from the latter to the former for on-site observations at locations where major SEPA projects are staged. The flow also comes the other way around as artists and curators from the former are invited to give talks sharing their work or to participate in SEPA projects organized in different places across mainland China. Furthermore, experimental art spaces in Hong Kong have hosted many collaborative projects and residency programs in which Japanese, Korean, and Taiwanese artists work along with local artists and communities, fostering translocal interactions and networks of support.

These kinds of exchanges have created many translocal spaces which, in Setha Low’s words, contribute to the production of new kinds of emergent public spaces because they “link multiple places through the bodies and embodied spaces.” Low believes that as people and ideas move across national or regional boundaries, the places they inhabit and the public spaces they produce work together to form “the network of political awareness, protest and strategies for participating in a global public sphere.” Public sphere here is not so much the eighteenth-century bourgeois public sphere conceptualized by Jurgen Habermas based on the European context. Rather, it is the one that has taken on a global scale and become part and parcel of the rising global civil society where people from all walks of life and nationalities could “come

---

6 For example, Japan’s Echigo-Tsumari Art Triennale and the Setouchi Triennale have attracted many Chinese curators and artists who visited the sites and wrote about them. For Taiwan, because, as Bo Zheng observed that among contemporary Chinese speaking societies, Taiwan has the most vibrant scene of socially engaged art, the practices there attracted much attention from its counterpart in mainland China. See Bo Zheng, “An Interview with Wu Mali,” FIELD: A Journal of Socially-Engaged Art Criticism, issue 3 (2016), accessed August 4, 2020, http://field-journal.com/category/issue-3.


8 Low, “Public Space,” 166.
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