

# The changing face of VR

Pushing the boundaries  
of experience across  
multiple industries

Edited by

**Jordan Frith**

*Clemson University*

and

**Michael Saker**

*City University London*

Series in Sociology



VERNON PRESS

Copyright © 2022 by the authors.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior permission of Vernon Art and Science Inc.  
[www.vernonpress.com](http://www.vernonpress.com)

*In the Americas:*  
Vernon Press  
1000 N West Street, Suite 1200,  
Wilmington, Delaware 19801  
United States

*In the rest of the world:*  
Vernon Press  
C/Sancti Espiritu 17,  
Malaga, 29006  
Spain

Series in Sociology

Library of Congress Control Number: 2022939866

ISBN: 978-1-64889-474-9

Product and company names mentioned in this work are the trademarks of their respective owners. While every care has been taken in preparing this work, neither the authors nor Vernon Art and Science Inc. may be held responsible for any loss or damage caused or alleged to be caused directly or indirectly by the information contained in it.

Every effort has been made to trace all copyright holders, but if any have been inadvertently overlooked the publisher will be pleased to include any necessary credits in any subsequent reprint or edition.

Cover design by Vernon Press using photo by Martin Sanchez on Unsplash.

# Table of Contents

<b>List of figures and tables</b>	vii
<b>Acknowledgments</b>	ix
<b>Author bios</b>	xiii
<b>Preface</b>	xvii
David P. Parisi <i>College of Charleston</i>	
<b>Chapter 1</b>	
<b>Introduction:</b>	
<b>The winding road of Virtual Reality (VR) development</b>	1
Jordan Frith <i>Clemson University</i>	
Michael Saker <i>City University London</i>	
<b>Section 1: VR and storytelling</b>	15
<b>Chapter 2</b>	
<b>VR storytelling for social justice and the ethics of playing</b>	
<b>Black bodies</b>	17
Christopher Maraffi <i>North Carolina State University</i>	
<b>Chapter 3</b>	
<b>An embodied poetics: Screenwriting for immersive media</b>	35
Rafael Leal <i>Pontifical Catholic University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil</i>	
<b>Chapter 4</b>	
<b>Taking stock: VR in journalism</b>	51
Hannah Greber <i>University of Vienna, Austria</i>	

<b>Chapter 5</b>	
<b><i>Table for Two - A parallel interactive narrative in VR (PINVR)</i></b>	67
Delwyn Jude Remedios	
<i>Indian Institute of Technology Hyderabad, India</i>	
Deepak John Mathew	
<i>Indian Institute of Technology Hyderabad, India</i>	
Max Schleser	
<i>Swinburne University</i>	
<b>Section 2: VR and nature</b>	81
<b>Chapter 6</b>	
<b>VR's growing role in establishing environmental empathy and its potential to model complex climate systems</b>	83
Mary Le Rouge	
<i>Cleveland Institute of Music</i>	
<b>Chapter 7</b>	
<b>Designing with care ethics in nature-based VR</b>	97
Kailan Sindelar	
<i>University of North Florida</i>	
<b>Section 3: VR and performance</b>	111
<b>Chapter 8</b>	
<b>Being-there when there is not there: Live performance, social VR, and COVID-19</b>	113
Leighton Evans	
<i>Swansea University</i>	
Michal Rzeszewski	
<i>Adam Mickiewicz University in Poznan, Poland</i>	
<b>Chapter 9</b>	
<b><i>The Under Presents: The potential of the real in virtual theatre</i></b>	127
Alicia Corts	
<i>Saint Leo University</i>	

<b>Section 4: VR and exhibitions</b>	143
<b>Chapter 10</b>	
<b>Curating for communal engagement in VR</b>	145
Julia Salles <i>Université de Montréal</i>	
Jessie Marchessault <i>Independent Scholar</i>	
Bart Simon <i>Concordia University</i>	
<b>Chapter 11</b>	
<b>Exploring the phenomenology of VR-based exhibits in art museums</b>	159
Eryn Parker <i>City University of London</i>	
Michael Saker <i>City University London</i>	
<b>Index</b>	173



# List of figures and tables

## Figures

- Figure 5.1: Table for Two storyboard presented in split-screen format. 71
- Figure 5.2: PIN VR experience on a scale of interactivity. 73

## Tables

- Table 8.1: Types of relationship in “meatspace” and VR live performances. 123



# Acknowledgments

An edited book is a unique kind of beast. We (Jordan Frith and Michael Saker) have each written our own books, but this was the first edited collection either of us had ever put together. The entire process is so different from writing a monograph, and it requires so many people to do so many great things along the way. Consequently, we want to start this acknowledgment section in a fairly obvious place: the authors who contributed to this collection. The two of us wrote a book proposal, evaluated abstracts, assigned peer reviews, gave individualized feedback, and wrote the intro. But it's the authors themselves and the excellent work they did that make this book what it is. They put up with super specific—maybe overly specific—type-A instructions from Jordan about everything from heading styles to language conventions. And they wrote these chapters, met their deadlines, and produced insightful work during a pandemic when so much else was going on. We cannot express enough thanks for the amazing work all the contributors did for this book, and it's just as much each of theirs as it is ours.

When we dreamed up this book, our ideal goal was to put a bunch of authors from different backgrounds into conversation about the ways Virtual Reality (VR) is emerging across various industries. And through a good amount of luck, we received so many great abstracts and what you're holding in your hands is pretty much the exact collection we dreamed of. The authors in this collection include researchers trained in multiple academic disciplines and practitioners who have extensive applied experience writing and developing for VR. The authors are also from four different continents, and they cover areas of expertise that range from screenwriting to environmental communication to live theatre. We are grateful that, through their experiences and efforts, the authors in this book provide a perspective on VR across industries that extends far past the more typical VR focus on gaming or social media. Our names might be on the cover of this book, but this book is the product of so many different contributors who taught us so much as we worked with them to develop their chapters.

We also want to thank everyone at Vernon Press for making this book possible. We have both worked with multiple publishers, and the Vernon Press experience was one of the smoothest either of us have ever had. Our editors were responsive and helpful, and the guidelines they provided were clear and detailed. This book took a lot of work, but neither of us can imagine how much more work it would have been without the solid guidance from the Vernon Press staff.

Now we want to switch to some brief individual acknowledgments, starting with Jordan.

**Jordan's acknowledgments:** I want to start by offering my deepest thanks to my brilliant, beautiful wife, Stevie. Stevie dealt with me throughout this process, through the late nights giving feedback, developing style guides for authors, and all the more mundane parts that go into putting together an edited book. She was as patient and understanding as she always is, and it feels bizarre to look back and think about the fact that almost our entire marriage has been during a pandemic. And even more so, the germs of this book trace back to the early days of our marriage, so in a way, this book feels like something we did together, and I can't think of anyone I would ever want more by my side.

And I'll conclude my acknowledgments by first thanking Michael for being an amazing collaborator. We've worked together for years, and it's always a highlight of my day whenever we get to meet on Zoom and talk about VR. I'm very lucky to have found such an excellent, kind collaborator.

I also want to thank my wonderful family. I hate talking about my work, so my family never knows what I'm working on, but they put up with me anyways. And of course... I could never write an acknowledgment section without including my closest collaborators: my three rescue pitbulls, Daisy, Tinkerbelle and Peaches. I can thank people until I'm blue in the face, but the fact remains that I don't *actually* write with other humans; I write and edit with my pups, and I can't imagine what this process would be like without them. Daisy, Tinkerbelle, and Peaches are the ones who sat with me as I spent countless hours emailing, organizing, editing, and writing. They begged for pets to distract me from the work (well...that's mostly Daisy), and they barked for walks when I need a break to refresh. So yeah...I'm not sure what this book would be if I didn't have my wonderful dogs to pet along the way.

**Michael's acknowledgments:** First off, I want to offer a huge thanks to Jordan Frith for all the hours he has poured into this book, and for taking the lead when I was bogged down. In many ways, this manuscript is the culmination of a bunch of articles we published together over the past few years about VR and the various impacts this technology might have on a range of things. It is no exaggeration to state this text would not be in the shape it is without you.

A massive thanks goes to my fantastic colleagues at City, University of London, and specifically, my colleagues and collaborators in the Department of Sociology—you know who you are. We have been through a lot in recent months. I get to work closely with some amazing people. I never take this for granted. Here's to new beginnings. A huge thanks goes to my exceptional friends and family for the encouragement and inspiration they always provide. I would also like to offer a special thanks to my wonderful mum, for her generosity, kindness and support. We have spoken about this book on many occasions over the past year

or so. You are an endless source of light. It is impossible to put into words how much I appreciate you.

Finally, I would like to thank my beautiful wife, Megan, and my wonderful children, Úna and Elliott for everything that they do. Without them, none of this would be possible. There isn't a day that goes by when I am not grateful for the time we spent together, and the pleasure it gives me watching you grow up. I often think about the significance of this period and the memories we are making. In my mind, these are the moments I will go back to.



# Author bios

**Dr. Alicia Cortis** is an associate professor and director of the theatre program at Saint Leo University. An accomplished director and media designer, she has worked both nationally and internationally. An active researcher, Dr. Cortis is primarily interested in performance in virtual spaces, specifically how identity and gender issues are regulated by online performance spaces. She is also one of the primary investigators on the Kutiyattam Project, an effort to bring the experience of this ancient Indian performance form into VR. She has been published in *Theatre Journal*, *Ecumenica*, *notches*, and *Rebus* as well as a number of book chapters.

**Leighton Evans** is an Associate Professor of Media Theory at Swansea University. He is the author of *Locative Social Media* (2015), *The Re-emergence of VR* (2018) and the co-author of *Location-based Social Media: Space, Time and Identity* (2017) and *Intergenerational Locative Play: Augmenting Family* (2021).

**Jordan Frith** is the Pearce Professor of Professional Communication at Clemson University. His primary research focuses on mobile media and infrastructure. He is the author of 3 books and more than 30 peer-reviewed journal articles in a variety of disciplines. His third book – *A Billion Little Pieces: RFID and Infrastructures of Identification* – was published by MIT Press in Spring 2019.

**Hannah Greber** a Ph.D. candidate at the University of Vienna, Department of Communication Science since March 2020. With Univ.- Prof. Dr. Sophie Lecheler from the University of Vienna and Dr. Loes Aaldering from the Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam as her supervisors, she is working on her dissertation "Immersive Journalism - the Future of the News?".

**Mary Le Rouge** is Director of Writing at the Cleveland Institute of Music, where she teaches writing classes and manages the writing centre. She is a member of CCCCs and ISHR. She has authored articles published in the SIGDOC proceedings, IVLA book of readings, *Peitho*, *CDQ*, and the edited collection *Civic Education Across Ever-Changing Societies*, and is co-editor of the forthcoming *Embodied Environmental Risk in Technical Communication*, published by ATTW/ Routledge in 2022. Her research lies at the intersection of the humanities and the sciences, looking for ways to improve communication between experts, policymakers, and the public.

**Rafael Leal** is a screenwriter, executive producer, and VR creator based in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. He is a Professor of Screenwriting at the Pontifical Catholic University of Rio de Janeiro and a Ph.D. Candidate in Film at Fluminense

Federal University, researching the Poetics of interactive and immersive narratives, with a DAAD-funded doctoral research period in Germany. His creations include the feature film “Too Soon,” (Fox), and TV shows “Queenpin” (CineBrasilTV) and “Jungle Pilot” (NBC Universal), whose development was the subject of the chapter “Transcultural Collaboration in Screenwriting: Jungle Pilot’s Case Study,” published in the book “Transcultural Screenwriting: Telling Stories for a Global World” (Cambridge Scholars). Since 2019, Rafael has been a member of the Screenwriting Research Network’s Executive Council.

**Chris ‘Topher’ Maraffi** is an Assistant Professor in the Art and Design department at North Carolina State University. He teaches emerging technology courses for real-time storytelling and visual effects at NC State, and previously taught game studies, animation, and extended reality courses at Florida Atlantic University. His Mitchelville XR Tour research project received a National Endowment for the Humanities Digital Projects for the Public grant and is concerned with ethically representing the history of the Reconstruction-era Gullah Geechee heritage site through interactive media.

**Jessie Marchessault** is a recent graduate of the MA student in the Media Studies program at Concordia University and is currently working in the videogames industry as a User Research Coordinator. She was a member of Technoculture, Art and Games (TAG) research lab and is currently the lead research assistant for Indie Interfaces: MESI Quebec-GPS Discoverability Engine Project which examines and evaluates indie developer support and discoverability initiatives in Montreal. Her research interests include curation and VR, player experiences and communities of play, and representation in games.

**Deepak John Mathew** is a Professor and Head of the Department of Design at the Indian Institute of Technology Hyderabad (India). He holds a master’s degree in Fine Arts (Graphic Arts) from the M.S. University, Vadodara and has a Ph.D. in Design Education from the Centre for Advanced Studies in Education, M.S. University, and Vadodara. Currently, he is a Professor and Head of the Design Department and involved in setting up the Design Department at IIT Hyderabad.

**Eryn Parker** is a Ph.D. student at City University of London, in the Sociology Department. Her research focuses on the impact of new media technologies on culture, society and policy

**Delwyn Jude Remedios** is an Assistant Professor at the Department of Design, Indian Institute of Technology Hyderabad (India). He is an animator and illustrator, with a Master’s in Animation Film Design from the National Institute of Design (NID) Ahmedabad, as well as a Bachelor’s degree in Mass Media from St. Andrew’s College, Mumbai. His student films at NID have received National and International recognition (<https://vimeo.com/user35411152>). Post NID,

he has worked in Mumbai as a Visual Designer in the eLearning industry in areas such as visual storyboards, character designs, infographics, illustration and animation. He teaches Animation, Illustration and Filmmaking at the Department of Design, Indian Institute of Technology (IIT) Hyderabad. Currently, he is pursuing his Ph.D. in VR filmmaking.

**Dr. Michał Rzeszewski** works at Adam Mickiewicz University in Poznan, where he explores issues related to digital geography and geographic information systems (GIS). His interests include virtual and augmented reality (AR) and the relationship between software, code and space in smart cities. He is the head of the Critical Geography Research Unit, where he develops and evaluates web-based participatory mapping tools.

**Michael Saker** is a Senior Lecturer in the Department of Sociology, City, University of London. His research focuses on digital media and the datafication of society, with an emphasis on the application of mobile and locative media in daily life. He is the co-author of *Intergenerational Play* (Emerald, 2021) and *Location-Based Social Media* (Palgrave, 2017)

**Julia Salles** is a researcher and lecturer at Université de Montréal. She holds a PhD in Communication Studies from Université du Québec à Montréal and a MA in Contemporary Art and New Media from Université Paris VIII. She is a film and new media curator and producer and member of the programming team for the Clermont-Ferrand International Short Film Festival since 2015. Her research-creation interests focus on information and communication technologies. She is currently investigating the use of artificial intelligence technologies in interactive documentaries and curatorial aspects of VR.

**Max Schleser** is a Senior Lecturer in Film and Television and Researcher in the Centre for Transformative Media Technologies (CTMT) at Swinburne University of Technology (Melbourne, Australia). He is a Senior Lecturer in Film and Television and Researcher in the Centre for Transformative Media Technologies (CTMT) at Swinburne University of Technology (Melbourne, Australia), Adobe Education Leader, Founder of the Mobile Innovation Network & Association ([www.mina.pro](http://www.mina.pro)) and Screening Director of the International Mobile Innovation Screening & Festival. Max's research expertise is Immersive Media and Creative Arts 4.0 with a focus on Cinematic VR and interactive filmmaking. His research explores Screen Production, Emerging Media and Smartphone Filmmaking for community engagement, creative transformation and transmedia storytelling.

**Dr. Bart Simon** is the current director of Milieux and an Associate Professor in the Department of Sociology and Anthropology. His areas of expertise include game studies, science and technology studies, and cultural sociology. His game studies and design research crosses a variety of genres and platforms looking

at the relation between game cultures, socio-materiality, and everyday life. Some of his work is represented in journals such as *Games and Culture*, *Game Studies*, and *Loading*. His current research on the materialities of play, indie game scenes, and player-makers is funded by the Social Science and Humanities Council of Canada.

**Kailan Sindelar** is an assistant professor in the department of English at the University of North Florida. She received her Ph.D. from Clemson University and her dissertation was entitled “Rewilding with AR and VR: Facilitating Care with Photography in Immersive Apps.” She is also an affiliate of Clemson University’s VR and Nature Lab where she collaborates on several interdisciplinary projects. Her research interests include environmental rhetoric, technical and professional communication, scientific communication, climate change communication, augmented reality, VR, extended reality, critical animal studies, and care ethics.

# Preface

David P. Parisi

*College of Charleston*

*“Virtual Reality won’t merely replace TV. It will eat it alive.”*

-Arthur C. Clarke, quoted on the back cover of Howard Rheingold’s  
*Virtual Reality* (1991)

This collection arrives at a pivotal moment for VR. As Frith and Saker outline in their introductory chapter of this volume, after several cycles of “hype and disappointment” (p. 1) around the technology dating back to the 1980s, we’re now seeing an unprecedented amount of commercial investment in VR across a wide range of industries. While use cases for this latest generation of headsets released in the mid-2010s initially focused on gaming, they gradually began expanding to include the wide range of applications covered in this book, with VR seen as a social space, teaching tool, a potential replacement for retail commerce, a tool for design, a means of artistic creation and consumption, and a new mode of storytelling and performance. And at these early stages of VR’s most recent wave, fragmentation in VR hardware landscape presented an obstacle for both creating and consuming VR content. The issue of fragmentation could be lessening, however. Meta’s (formerly Facebook) standalone Quest 2 headset, retailing for \$300 USD, has effectively emerged as the consumer standard, with roughly 15 million units sold as of June 2022.

Despite these positive developments, VR’s future trajectory is still very much an open question. Proponents and enthusiasts often speak of its widespread adoption as a foregone conclusion, with VR headsets forecasted to eventually replace smartphone screens as the standard mode of both consuming traditional media (strapping on a headset to watch a Netflix show, for example) and networked digital communication (where multiuser 3D virtual environments like *Horizon Worlds* supplant traditional social media platforms). Because VR provides a purportedly more embodied and “natural” way of interacting with media, existing media forms, according to this narrative, will be left behind by a kind of mediatic evolution. This story is exemplified by enthusiasts’ adoption of the derogatory term “pancake game” to denote non-VR video games, which situates VR as the next step in a progressive media evolution that will more fully capture the senses and project the body into computer-generated worlds. As with Clarke’s quote above, from over 30 years ago, the assumption here is that

VR won't emerge as a mere complement to existing media forms but rather that it will rapidly make them all obsolete.

At the same time, there's also a persistent evolutionary promise baked into current-gen hardware, an assurance that each component of the current VR interface will gradually improve: headsets will get lighter, screens will increase in resolution and response rate, haptic cues will grow increasingly complex and target a wider surface of the body, motion and gesture recognition will become more accurate, and VR will even expand the range of senses it simulates to even include taste and smell (and it's worth recalling here that in Ivan Sutherland's foundational "The Ultimate Display," he suggested that it should "serve as many senses as possible"). VR in the future will not only make today's media obsolete; it will also make today's VR obsolete.

These deterministic narratives about the inevitability of VR's proliferation and evolution have been buoyed by its recent popular cultural portrayals as a ubiquitous technology. The film *Ready Player One*, when it arrived in theatres in 2018, provided a cinematic depiction of a future where networked VR is widely adopted, immensely popular, and deeply integrated into our social fabric. Although the film positions VR as central to a corporatized dystopia, enthusiasts routinely point to *Ready Player One* as an aspirational illustration of where the technology will inevitably bring us.

Proponents present the deep integration of VR into the social fabric as desirable because they argue VR's increased uptake will lead to broad-based social progress. From its earliest days, more than three decades ago, VR has often been presented in these utopian terms. But the current marketing push has expanded the range of VR's supposed transformative powers. Some of these claims are echoed in this volume, albeit in more muted tones. VR can function as "the ultimate empathy machine" (Milk, cited in Maraffi, this volume, p. 22), allowing us to inhabit the bodies of others, gaining a greater understanding of their embodied experiences, and thereby helping to decrease racist, sexist, and ableist attitudes. With its purported superiority to previous modes of communication, it can serve as a suitable substitute for face-to-face interaction, ameliorating the feelings of loneliness and disconnection that still plague remote communication. Through its "quasi-physicality" (Evans and Rzeszewski, this volume, p. 144), VR can provide a functional replacement for physical space, with embodied spatial cues sufficiently cementing associations between memories and computer-generated environments. It might heighten student engagement in the classroom, leading to better learning outcomes and broader access to quality education, as promised in Meta's recent announcement that it has begun partnering with colleges to turn their campuses in "metaversities." It has the potential to increase feelings of credibility and objectivity in journalism (see Greber, this volume). It will motivate us to exercise, with its immersive

character allowing it to succeed where other attempts to push ‘exergaming’ have failed. At this stage in its hype cycle, it’s difficult to imagine a challenge VR proponents *haven’t* offered to solve with a sprinkling of metaverse dust.

The problem with this sort of crude technological solutionism—where we turn to technology to solve problems that are social at their core—concerns its deferral of responsibility: if we’re waiting for the technology’s increased proliferation and rapid maturation, we’re hitching our hopes for social progress to corporatized wagons, repeating the mistakes with Web 3.0 that we made with Web 2.0, while also obscuring the possibility that VR might exacerbate rather than address this wide range of social issues. Commendably, while the authors in this volume excitedly explore the new possibilities VR opens up, they do so without resorting to deterministic and techno-utopian narratives pushed by marketers and profiteers. Confronting VR requires us to treat it as a technology without an inevitable and fixed trajectory, one that manifests differently depending on the sociocultural and economic context in which it’s deployed. The question of “what can and will VR do?” is best answered by looking at what VR *is* now doing in practice: how VR is being actively used by practitioners and how that use is prompting them to revise and rethink and reconceptualize their existing practices.

By including contributors working in a range of roles, this collection also speaks effectively to the question of who is doing VR development and the challenges VR poses to established modes of media creation and production. Consequently, this collection effectively presents a pragmatic response to this challenge. Sampling broadly from applications beyond gaming, the chapters provide a comprehensive picture of VR—simultaneously as a platform, as a medium, as a technology, as an aesthetic orientation, as an authoring tool, as a genre, and as a business model—that expand our understanding of how thoroughly VR could work across existing communicative practices.

In their introduction to this volume, Frith and Saker repeatedly invoke the idea of VR’s imminent arrival, noting that it would, at present, “still be a stretch to say that VR has gone fully mainstream” and then (I think quite justifiably) claiming that “the technology is closer than it ever has been before.” (16) This raises another pressing methodological: what criteria we will use to evaluate VR’s success or failure? What threshold will VR have to cross in order for us to say it’s “gone fully mainstream”? If VR is getting “closer,” from whose perspective? Is it a question of solving the many technical challenges that continue to impede its proliferation (including poor graphical fidelity, lack of realistic haptics, ergonomic issues owing to the bulk and weight of headsets, and the ongoing issue of simulation sickness)? Or does VR have to demonstrate a broad-based cultural appeal that we have yet to see evidence of? And how do we speak to these questions without simply parroting the inflated claims of well-funded VR

propagandists with a vested interest in its success, or conversely, without dismissing the experiences of enthusiasts who've already had meaningful and transformative experiences enabled by VR interfaces? In short, the open question for us is an evaluative and methodological one: at what point do we start assessing VR based on its actual impact rather than its potential—or virtual—effects?

This all loops us around to the value of the perspectives brought together in this collection. If VR continues to grow, the chapters that follow will be read as previews of a technocultural future where VR is broadly diffused into a range of practices, reshaping them to accommodate this new mode of communication. If VR stagnates, however—if Meta abandons its recently-announced multibillion dollar investments into the technology, if VR contracts back to a narrower focus on gaming applications, or if it merely remains a niche technology with a dedicated core of enthusiasts but not much else—these chapters will read instead as indexes of futures never manifested, snapshots of the technology in a moment of enthusiastic and creative expansion. These practice-based approaches detail the opportunities presented by the new medium while also showing how VR challenges our existing vocabularies and frameworks of creative practice.

Chapter 1

**Introduction:**

**The winding road of Virtual Reality (VR)**

**development**

Jordan Frith

*Clemson University*

Michael Saker

*City University London*

**Abstract**

This introductory chapter examines the long history of Virtual Reality (VR) and its potentially bright future. The chapter begins by discussing major corporations' significant investment in VR. The authors argue that this significant investment will likely expand VR to more and more industries, which makes the case studies found throughout this book even more relevant. The chapter then details the long history of hype and disappointment about VR before examining its "Renaissance" in the mid-2010s. The chapter then describes the structure of this edited collection and the wide range of topics covered in the book and concludes by arguing that the future of VR is brighter than it ever has been.

\*\*\*

**Introduction**

We began soliciting chapters for this book in the fall of 2020 at a point when Virtual Reality (VR) was clearly on an upswing. That fall, Facebook released the Oculus Quest 2—an updated version of its wireless predecessor—which was an immediate hit. And, of course, we were over half a year into the COVID-19 pandemic. For the most part, live events and travel had come to a screeching halt, and in some cases, VR designers had designed platforms to partially fill the void left by travel restrictions. Consequently, the moment seemed ideal to bring together a diverse group of academics and practitioners doing research on disparate forms of VR. VR's popularity was growing, and everything from

attending concerts through headsets to wandering through virtual museums seemed more relevant than ever before.

We are now writing this introduction in the early spring of 2022 and the topics covered in these chapters have become even more relevant than we could have imagined. In October 2021, Facebook announced they were rebranding as “Meta,” which is the new name for their umbrella corporation (similar to Alphabet as the umbrella company for Google and its offshoots). This major announcement followed weeks of negative press about Facebook, which included evidence of the role Facebook groups played in organizing the January 6<sup>th</sup> uprising in Washington, DC, alongside whistle-blower revelations that Facebook knowingly uses algorithms on Instagram that are detrimental to users’ mental health (mainly to drive ad revenue) (Romo, 2021; Timberg, Dwoskin, and Albergotti, 2021). As a result of the timing, many commentators greeted the major Meta rebranding with scepticism and argued the timing was a cynical attempt to change the public narrative (Fenlon, 2021). However, regardless of the reasons, the Meta rebranding showed that one of the largest companies in the world envisioned a bright future for VR that makes the use cases examined in these chapters even more relevant.

The core of the Meta rebranding was Mark Zuckerberg’s belief that the future of digital media will be found in VR and—to a slightly lesser extent—augmented reality (AR). As part of the “launch” event, Zuckerberg announced the company was spending \$10 billion in 2021 on building their VR “Metaverse,” with similar investments in coming years (Kastrenakes and Heath, 2021). He also announced they were hiring thousands of additional employees to build their VR platforms. And what was maybe most astonishing—and arguably alarming—about the announcement event was the *scope* of the vision for the future of VR. The Meta home page has videos touting the use of VR for everything from exercise to education to entertainment. Zuckerberg himself hyped the supposed “Metaverse” as the “next evolution in social connection” (Meta, 2021, n.p.).

Equally importantly, Meta was far from the only company announcing interest in VR. Companies ranging from Roblox to Sony to Microsoft also announced interest in building a supposed Metaverse, and VR is central to much of that vision. At this point, there’s no clear outline of just what a Metaverse would look like and no way of knowing which companies would succeed in building a Metaverse. And honestly, we are not sure that it matters all that much for the future of VR and particularly for the relevance of the chapters in this collection. While the term “Metaverse” may end up being a buzzword people forget about within a few years, the investment in VR will still help the media form grow in ways these chapters document in detail.

The chapters in this book were mostly written before Meta’s massive investment in VR and companies ranging from Roblox to Microsoft’s announcements

PAGES MISSING  
FROM THIS FREE SAMPLE

# Index

## A

affordance, 118  
agency, 20, 23, 24, 25, 28, 39, 40,  
41, 43, 44, 53, 61, 63, 84, 86, 101,  
107, 108  
audience, 11, 20, 36, 43, 51, 52, 53,  
54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 64,  
113, 114, 115, 118, 119, 120,  
124, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131,  
132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137,  
138, 139, 145, 146, 147, 148,  
149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154,  
155, 156, 157  
avatar, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 28, 29, 40,  
41, 56, 60, 118, 120, 121, 123,  
130, 134

## B

Boal, Augusto, 17, 18, 19, 20, 28,  
*See* Theatre of the Oppressed  
Bordwell, David, 36, 37, 48, 68, 73,  
78

## C

care ethics, xvi, 10, 97, 99, 100,  
101, 102, 107, 108, 109  
*Carne Y Arena*, 17, 135  
climate change, xvi, 83, 84, 85, 90,  
95, 109  
COVID-19, 26, 69, 70, 73, 77, 113,  
114, 115, 117, 125

## D

Daisyworld, 90, 92, 93, 94, 95  
disembodied, 23, 24, 41

## E

education, 2, 3, 10, 83, 85, 86, 87,  
106, 108, 110, 126  
educational settings, 85, 87  
embodied, 9, 18, 19, 20, 24, 27, 28,  
29, 35, 36, 37, 39, 40, 41, 43, 44,  
46, 47, 48, 64, 84, 86, 87, 88, 89,  
91, 92, 93, 96, 109, 116, 117,  
118, 119, 121, 123, 124, 134  
embodiment, 20, 27, 40, 41, 47, 49,  
56, 60, 64, 84, 88, 89, 94, 95, 114,  
115, 116, 117, 119, 120, 121,  
123, 124, 148  
empathy, 10, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23,  
25, 27, 28, 47, 52, 54, 56, 57, 59,  
60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 83, 85, 86, 93,  
94, 95, 96  
environmental communication,  
ix, 4, 86, 94, 98, 102, 109  
environmental problems, 83

## F

Facebook, 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 13, 14, 79,  
105  
film, xiv, xv, 9, 24, 35, 36, 37, 39, 42,  
43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 67, 68, 71, 72,  
73, 74, 75, 76, 78, 79, 84, 85, 103,  
129, 130, 135, 148, 149, 150,  
154, 157

cinema, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22,  
27, 28, 43, 68, 69, 71, 72, 73,  
74, 77, 78, 148, 154, 156, 157

## G

Gaia theory, 83, 88, 89, 93, 94  
games, xiv, 17, 18, 19, 21, 22, 28,  
29, 88, 130, 134, 135, 157, 159

## H

headset, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 25, 86,  
135, 136, 145, 146, 147, 148,  
149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154,  
155, 156, 160, 162, 166, 168,  
169, 170, 171  
HMD, 4, 6, 8, 102, 116, 146

## I

I – technology – world, 84, 114,  
119, 120, 121  
Ihde. *See* I – technology – world  
immersion, 18, 20, 22, 23, 24, 25,  
27, 28, 43, 44, 56, 61, 62, 64, 72,  
84, 86, 91, 114, 116, 117, 119,  
120, 121, 123, 126, 127, 128,  
131, 133, 145, 146, 147, 148,  
151, 152, 153, 157, 160, 171  
immersive, xiv, 9, 17, 19, 22, 24,  
29, 35, 36, 37, 47, 51, 54, 55,  
56, 57, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64,  
65, 72, 73, 79, 84, 85, 89, 109,  
116, 121, 127, 128, 131, 132,  
133, 135, 137, 138, 139, 145,  
146, 147, 149, 152, 154, 156,  
158, 170  
interactive, xiv, xv, 10, 18, 20, 23,  
36, 37, 39, 44, 47, 49, 53, 54, 56,  
59, 67, 69, 71, 72, 73, 77, 85, 93,

94, 104, 105, 121, 134, 135, 145,  
148, 150, 154, 156, 164  
interactive narratives, 37, 67, 71,  
77

## J

journalism, 28, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55,  
56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64

## L

live performance, 113, 114, 115,  
117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122,  
123, 124  
Lovelock, James. *See* Gaia Theory

## M

meatspace, 116, 117, 118, 119, 121,  
123, 124  
Merleau-Ponty, Maurice, 37, 44,  
49, 100, 133, 141  
Meta, 2, 7, 8, 12, 13, 14  
museum, 25, 26, 84, 153, 159, 160,  
161, 162, 163, 165, 166, 167,  
168, 169, 170, 171, 172

## N

narrative, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 24, 25,  
28, 29, 35, 36, 38, 39, 42, 43, 44,  
45, 46, 51, 52, 53, 54, 61, 62, 63,  
67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75,  
76, 77, 78, 79, 98, 102, 105, 154  
*National Geographic Explore VR*,  
98, 99, 103, 104, 105  
*Nature Treks VR*, 104  
Nature-based VR, 97, 98, 107

**O**

*Ocean Rift*, 98, 99, 103, 105, 106,  
108

Oculus Quest, 23, 128, 130, 136,  
137

**P**

pandemic. *See* COVID-19

panoramas, 128, 129

performance, xiii, 11, 26, 113, 114,  
115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120,  
123, 124, 127, 129, 130, 131,  
132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137,  
138, 139, 141, 171

phenomenology, 37, 84, 113, 119,  
127, 128, 133, 159, 168

physical world, 39, 44, 83, 124,  
127, 128, 130, 132, 133, 136,  
137, 139, 166

poetics, 9, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41,  
42, 46, 47, 48

presence, 18, 20, 24, 26, 40, 43, 44,  
47, 51, 56, 57, 60, 62, 64, 72, 77,  
79, 84, 91, 95, 102, 113, 114,  
115, 116, 117, 119, 120, 121,  
122, 124, 126, 128, 130, 136,

146, 147, 148, 149, 151, 152,  
153, 154, 155, 158, 162, 165, 167  
private space, 27, 57, 149

**S**

*Scents of Shad Thames*, 159, 160,  
162, 163, 170

screenwriting, 9, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39,  
40, 41, 42, 46, 47, 49

social justice, 9, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21,  
26

social media, ix, 4, 115

*Star Wars*, 18

storytelling, xv, 9, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22,  
24, 25, 26, 27, 35, 36, 52, 54, 58,  
62, 63, 64, 67, 71, 78, 139

systems approach, 89

**T**

theatre, xiii, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 25,  
27, 68, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131,  
132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137,  
138, 139, 140

Theatre of the Oppressed, 17, 18,  
19

360-degree video, 51