

# Cultural Landscape Transaction and Values of Nupe Community in Central Nigeria

**Isa Bala Muhammad**

School of Environmental Technology,  
Federal University of Technology, Minna, Nigeria

**Vernon Series in Anthropology**



VERNON PRESS

Copyright © 2017 Vernon Press, an imprint of Vernon Art and Science Inc, on behalf of the author.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior permission of Vernon Art and Science Inc.

[www.vernonpress.com](http://www.vernonpress.com)

*In the Americas:*  
Vernon Press  
1000 N West Street,  
Suite 1200, Wilmington,  
Delaware 19801  
United States

*In the rest of the world:*  
Vernon Press  
C/Sancti Espiritu 17,  
Malaga, 29006  
Spain

Vernon Series in Anthropology

Library of Congress Control Number: 2017948020

ISBN: 978-1-62273-230-2

Product and company names mentioned in this work are the trademarks of their respective owners. While every care has been taken in preparing this work, neither the authors nor Vernon Art and Science Inc. may be held responsible for any loss or damage caused or alleged to be caused directly or indirectly by the information contained in it.

*To the memories of My Father, Alhaji Muhammad Nda-Isa*



# Foreword

Rural communities in Nigeria offer tremendous opportunities to study their cultural landscape, due to the existence of diverse ethnic groups and cultural settings. This is similar with communities throughout South-East Asia, particularly those of Indonesian settings. Cultural landscape in Nigeria is nurtured by transactions of the people with their surroundings that generate meaning and values. This book relates cultural values of the Nupe people, a minority ethnic group in North-central Nigeria, forming their cultural landscape that governs and sustain the communities' heritage.

Tangible building practices and varieties of crop production are among the functional performances signifying the identity of Nupe people and their communities. Besides, intangible values such as medicinal rituals and significance of the *gozan* (barber) aligned the people with their fellow villagers. These relationships provide insight on the natural and social settings traced to the family, which were revealed through an ethnography conducted by Dr Muhammad Isa Bala. For a period of eight (8) months he observed daily and seasonal practices of the Nupe community. Thereafter, he used illustrations to support assertions which are drawn out from the natives' perception of their cultural landscape in order to form his grounded theory. The grounded theory asserted that three (3) unique factors shaped a typical Nupe community; 1. The landscape— that include farms, greenery, hills and rivers, showcasing people-space and place relationship. 2. The architectural components— that include Katamba and Zhempa as typical domestic space shaped by family structure, and 3. The indigenous professions— that include *gozan* and the performance of *wasu*.

One of the significant achievements of Dr Muhammad's work is the devotion of the book on the intangible values (over the tangibles) of the Nupe cultural landscape and the promotion of the integration of these values in government policies. Indeed, a proposition that significantly aligns with UN's advocacy— on the consideration of mainstream social values in sustainable development goals of spatial implication. Also, Dr Muhammad's work consistently advocated for the preservation of values and identities of cultural landscapes.

Beyond these the greatest benefit derivable from studying Nupe cultural landscape is the unveiling of the socio-spatial interaction and relationships (myth and beliefs) of the Nupe ethnic group with their environment.

Ismail bin Said  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia



# Table of Contents

<i>Foreword</i>	<i>v</i>
<i>List of tables</i>	<i>ix</i>
<i>List of figures</i>	<i>xi</i>
<i>Preface</i>	<i>xiii</i>
<i>Acknowledgement</i>	<i>xv</i>
<i>Biography of the Author</i>	<i>xvii</i>
Chapter 1    Ethnography Approach to Cultural Landscape Studies	1
Chapter 2    The Meaning of Culture and Cultural Landscape	5
Chapter 3    Family Structure and Domestic Space Transactions	15
Chapter 4    Transactions of Indigenous Professions	43
Chapter 5    Indigenous Professions and Leadership Roles in Cultural Landscape Transactions	71
Chapter 6    Overview of Tangible and Intangible Cultural Values of Nupe Community	81
<i>References</i>	<i>89</i>
<i>Glossary</i>	<i>95</i>
<i>Index</i>	<i>99</i>





# List of tables

Table 3.1: A 24 hour, courtyard spatial transactions of families	33
Table 3.2: The ratio of built-up spaces and courtyards.	34
Table 4.1: The schedule of dzoro, the daily Farming Periods	44
Table 4.2: Doko Nupe community farming calendar and landscape indicators	45
Table 4.3: Species of Trees Found in the Compounds	62
Table 4.4: The Intangible values of trees in Doko community	65



# List of figures

Figure 2.1: The Location of Nupeland in Central Nigeria	10
Figure 2.2: Dutsu, a rural Nupe community settlement along River Niger	10
Figure 2.3: Doko, a Nupe Community surrounded by a hilly landscape	11
Figure 2.4: The plain Landscape character allows for the cultivation of rice and other cereals for this Nupe community, Doko.	11
Figure 2.4: Nupe settlements along river Niger and Kaduna and those located on the upland	12
Figure 3.1: The hill surrounding Doko community landscape	15
Figure 3.2: A four generation family structure diagram of the Nupe community	17
Figure 3.3: A Nupe Woman's room decorated with pots.	19
Figure 3.4: Clay pots placed outside the courtyard for the storage of water and other wares.	19
Figure 3.5: The concept of pot decoration replaced with enamelled wares	21
Figure 3.6: A typical compound made up a common entrance hut (katamba) with several individual courtyards	23
Figure 3.7: A layout showing change from circular to square shaped bedrooms	24
Figure 3.8: The Transactions connectivity map of domestic spaces	26
Figure 3.9: Children, about the age of 2 to 4 years playing under a tree in front of a compound	28
Figure 3.10: Children age 4 to 6 playing under the tree with corn stalk in front of a compound	28
Figure 3.11: Children studying the tracks left by rodents	29
Figure 3.12: Women sitting under the shade of buildings to perform domestic chores within the courtyard.	31
Figure 3.13: Women resting under the shade provided by the buildings and the farm products under the sun. Adopted from (Muhammad & Said 2015a).	31
Figure 3.14: Courtyard activity diagram based on time of the day.	32
Figure 3.15: Types of katamba configurations.	34
Figure 3.16: The square shaped katamba without a window.	35
Figure 3.17: Circular bedrooms and shegi blind over the door entrance; Source (Muhammad and Said, 2014).	37
Figure 3.18: Transformation stages of bedrooms from circular to rectangular forms.	37
Figure 3.19: The plan and cross section of yekun (traditional Oven).	40

Figure 3.20: The elevation and interior view of the yekun, traditional hearth.	40
Figure 4.1: Women washing melon seed in the floods	47
Figure 4.2: A freshly ploughed ridges awaiting the flood	48
Figure 4.3: The farm ridges gradually getting flooded	48
Figure 4.4: A fully flooded rice farm	49
Figure 4.5: The south-eastern end of Doko hill	58
Figure 4.6: The view of the hill from the centre of the community	58
Figure 4.7: The steep and rocky nature of Doko hill	60
Figure 4.8: The primary determinants for the establishment of settlements	60
Figure 4.9: The hierarchy of needs in the establishment of settlements	61
Figure 4.10: People sitting under the shade of a mango for social transaction	63
Figure 4.11: The contextual word map of water	68
Figure 4.12: The dried gully, supposedly an old river path	69
Figure 5.1: Indigenous profession transactions and cultural values relationship	71
Figure 5.2: Nodes comparison query output for cultural values of Nupe community	72
Figure 5.3: The sugar cane section of the market	78
Figure 5.4: The vegetable section of the market	79
Figure 6.1: The spatial transactions of the Nupe family within the domestic space	82
Figure 6.2: The katamba as a geographic reference point for a Nupe compound	83
Figure 6.3: The graphic representation of the grounded theory of Nupe Community	86

# Preface

Over time, values are culturally developed by people as they relate to the environment in both tangible and intangible forms. However, limited studies or documentations exist on cultural landscapes of minority ethnic groups, especially in developing nations. As such, there is a global call in which UNESCO is at the forefront advocating the need for the preservation of values and identities of cultural landscapes. The uniqueness of this book is on the empirical evidence based on the documentation of an eight-month ethnographic study of minority ethnic group in central Nigeria, the Nupes. One of the distinguishing characters of the cultural values of the Nupe people is that they have their cultural landscape transactions constituted in both tangible and intangible forms. Even though it relates to an ethnic group in central Nigeria, others from similar cultural landscape can relate to the cultural transactions discussed in different chapters of this book.

Readers can align to how cultural landscapes are expressed in both tangible and intangible forms. Equally important are the people-space and place relationship which results in a sense of place. The cultural values of communities are a product of both natural as well as the social setting which begins with the family. As such the Nupe basic family structure and its relationship with the domestic space are discussed in this book to give the reader an insight and also exemplify how cultural values are constituted within the domestic space.

Additionally, the economic lifestyle of people has an influence on the cultural landscape values of communities. Accordingly, a section of this book demonstrates this assertion as well as how cultural values are exhibited by indigenous professions in their transactions with the landscape. The concluding chapter of this book gives the deductions drawn from the cultural landscape values of Nupe community which resulted in the formulation of Grounded Theory with spatial implications.

It is to be noted that, the book is an edited PhD dissertation of the Author on cultural landscape of Nupe community in central Nigeria (Muhammad, 2015).



# Acknowledgement

First, I would like to thank Professor Dr Ismail Said, for his tremendous effort, motivation, and untiring academic advice, especially on the initial research which formed the foundation for this book. My warmest appreciation goes to my family whose tolerance, support and prayers made it possible, they deserve to be mentioned. They are my wife Hajara, and my children, Fati, Munir, Nabil, Khalil, and Safira. In this category are also my Mother, Inna-Tako and my mother-in-law Mummy- (Habiba). I also appreciate the support my siblings namely; Yalarai, Iaminde, Umar, Bakar, Usman, Suleiman, Yusuf, Halimah and Yakubu. I am obligated to Dr AA Muhammad-Oumar for the valuable support before and also during the research process. The guidance, support and motivation of Mallam Kudu Liman and Dr Muhammad Ndatsu Mahmud are deeply appreciated.

My special appreciation goes to, Professor Ibrahim Kolo, Alhaji Manman Chado, Mallam Kudu Gona, they provided some vital information on the studied community. Similarly, in this class is the village head of Doko community, Alhaji Muhammad Dzhimau Santati as well as several respondents who are too numerous to mention. However, it is worth mentioning the names of some respondents, who passed away before the fruition of this book, they are Yawoba, Mr David Ndalangba, and Yababa Dokochi.

My appreciation goes to the Tertiary Education Trust Fund, Nigeria (TET-FUND) for fellowship granted to me during the PhD program at Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.

*Alhamdulillah.*





## Biography of the Author

Isa Bala Muhammad obtained his PhD in Architecture from Universiti Teknologi Malaysia in 2015. He had his Master's Degree as well as bachelor's degree in architecture in 2000 and 2002 respectively from Federal University of Technology Minna. He joined the services of the Niger State government as an architect in 2003 where he designed and supervised several government projects and rose to the position of Architect I. Later on in 2007, he joined the Federal University of Technology Minna as a lecturer. He has written several articles on related subjects. His research interest is on, Ethnography, Cultural landscapes, Ecosystem Services and Human Behaviour and Environment



## Chapter 1

# Ethnography Approach to Cultural Landscape Studies

### **Introduction**

Cultural Landscapes are made up of culture, environment, and the people. Each of the three components of the cultural landscape is filled with diverse and complex definitions. However, for this book, cultural landscape is operationalized to mean the social transactions and endless history of social transactions of people living within a community. It is the collective perspective and a way of living of the members of a given community (Palang *et al.*, 2011). As such, cultural landscape includes beliefs and cultural values constituted by people living within a community.

It, therefore, means that cultural landscape is the transactions of people with their landscape and the meaning and values people derive from such interactions (Lemelin *et al.*, 2015; Vejre *et al.*, 2010). These values are expressed in tangible and intangible forms depending on how people transact with their landscapes. Aside from culture, the socio-economic activities of the people contribute to how the landscapes are valued (Torquati *et al.*, 2015). For example, the socioeconomic activity of most rural African communities is farming; as such arable land becomes one of the key cultural value indicators for most communities.

Cultural landscapes are characterised by unique cultural transactions, but few studies exist, especially in the minority ethnic groups, (Nasongkhla, 2010). This is more profound on the African continent despite its richness in cultural heritage. For instance, Nigeria with a population of over 160 million people (NPC, 2006), and with over 250 ethnic groups has most cultural studies focused on the three ethnic groups of Hausa, Yoruba, and Igbo, (Adegbija, 2004). Each cultural transaction knowledge, as well as concepts, has a contributory role in extending knowledge beyond its geographical boundary. Furthermore, the importance of the Nupe ethnic group has seen it being noted amongst the fourteen communities celebrated by the Muslim reformer Sheik Usman fon-due in the early 18<sup>th</sup> century (Musa, 2004; Sarki, 2010).

The importance of studies on minority ethnic group has continued to be in the forefront of intergovernmental organisations. For example, UNESCO's Director-General Bokova (2015) affirmed the importance indigenous values and such values and identities belong to all and must be protected by all.

However, each cultural landscape transactions are constituted differently and by extension expressed both in tangible and intangible forms. It, therefore, means that the understanding of cultural identities, especially those which are devoid of much research requires exploratory study (Glaser and Strauss, 2009). And for an exploratory study on people's perceptions, beliefs, and values, ethnography, therefore, becomes a good medium for the elicitation of information (Biklen, 2010).

Cultural landscape transactions are spatially constituted in different scales. The scale starts from the room, the compound, up to the entire community. However, the primary unit of social transactions in all cultural landscapes is the family unit (Martin, 2015). As such, it is important to include the family structure as well as their basic spatial transactions when cultural landscape values are studied. Furthermore, the boundary of spatial transactions is guided by the extent to which the daily needs of the family members are fulfilled.

Accordingly, it is to be established that the theoretical stance of this book is that, there exist a strong relationship between landscape character and the socio-cultural life of the people who occupy such landscapes (Cieraad, 2006). It also means that the occupation of the people influences how spaces are configured and also how transactions occur. Similarly, the elements of the cultural landscape transactions include both man-made and the natural landscape such as the streams, hills and vegetation. Consequently, the summation of all the foregoing thus leads to the understanding of the cultural landscape values of communities (Cullotta and Barbera, 2011; Stephenson, 2005; Zube and Pitt, 1981). On the whole, three factors constitute the bases for understanding cultural landscape transaction; they are the livelihood, family structure and the character of the domestic space as well as the landscape.

### **Tangible and intangible cultural values**

Cultural landscape transactions are associated with physical and non-physical meaning. The landscape transaction also portrays the idiosyncrasy of a community and thus reflects the values of the people (del Barrio *et al.*, 2012). The concept of cultural values over time got expanded from the initial notion of monuments, historical buildings and archaeological site to also include the recognitions of people's collective identity. As a matter of fact, UNESCO at its various conventions emphasised the need to embrace the tangible and intangible elements of cultural heritage (Gullino and Larcher, 2012; Rössler, 2006; WHC, 1994). The advocacy thus became built up towards the inclusion of intangible cultural heritage, which was ignored for a long time, as a heritage to be protected and safeguarded (Vecco, 2010). Accordingly, UNESCO defined intangible cultural heritage to include people's processes, knowledge, skills, and products created as well social transactions with

PAGES MISSING  
FROM THIS FREE SAMPLE

# Index

## A

aesthetic, 9, 18, 20  
ancestral, 22, 24, 25  
architecture, 25, 27, 41, 85, 86

## B

bedroom, 18, 38, 82, 86  
behavioural intelligence, 32  
Beni, 15

## C

children, 27, 29  
contingent ritual, 54  
courtyards, 22, 26, 27, 30, 32, 61,  
81, 83  
cultural belief, 56  
cultural identity, 5  
cultural landscape, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 27,  
29, 30, 33, 36, 37, 39, 50, 51, 53,  
61, 64, 69, 70, 75, 77, 81, 85, 88  
cultural landscape transactions, 2,  
22  
cultural landscape value, 81  
cultural value, 1, 2, 3, 18, 52, 53,  
61, 64, 67, 71, 77, 83, 84, 85, 88  
culture, 1, 3, 4, 5, 13, 37, 44, 70, 85,  
87, 88

## D

*Dazhi*, 57, 72, 73, 74, 75, 77, 78, 85,  
86, 87, 95

Doko, 4, 15, 16, 54, 86  
Domestic chores, 30  
domestic space, 2, 8, 9, 25, 39, 40,  
41, 81, 82, 86, 87, 88  
*dzoro*, 43, 44, 50, 70

## E

economic, 39, 51, 70, 73, 75, 84  
economics, 51, 75, 76, 77, 78  
*Edoko*, 57, 72, 73, 74  
*efako*, 16, 17, 81, 88  
entrance hut, 22, 25, 30, 34  
environment, 3, 5, 44, 81  
ethnographic, 3  
ethnography, 3, 88  
*Etsu dzuko*, 78, 96  
experience, 3, 32, 89

## F

family, 2, 17, 22, 27, 30, 39, 46, 75,  
87, 88  
family head, 16, 17, 22, 41  
family system, 16, 81, 88  
farmers, 46, 50, 72, 76, 77, 84, 85,  
86  
farming, 16, 39, 43, 44, 46, 59, 70,  
74, 75, 76, 77, 83, 84, 85, 88

## G

*gozan*, 51, 52, 53, 54, 72, 83, 85, 86  
grounded theory, 85, 86

**H**

heritage, 1, 2, 5, 57  
hill, 15, 32, 57, 59, 68, 72, 84, 86, 88

**I**

indigenous, 1, 8, 64, 70, 72, 78, 86  
intangible, 2, 4, 41, 57, 64, 67, 69,  
74, 77, 81, 83, 85, 88, 93  
intangible space, 13  
intangible value, 3, 57, 64, 67, 71,  
85

**K**

*katagi*, 25, 39, 82  
*katamba*, 22, 25, 27, 30, 34, 35, 36,  
61, 82, 83, 86, 87  
*Kintsozhi*, 12  
*Kopa*, 54  
*Kyadya*, 12

**L**

landscape, 2, 4, 16, 44, 45, 51, 53,  
54, 61, 67, 71, 72, 77, 78, 83, 84,  
85, 86, 87, 88  
leadership, 17, 22, 53, 54, 72, 73,  
74, 75, 77, 78, 81, 84, 85, 86, 87

**M**

market scene, 50, 77, 78  
marriage, 18, 82, 85  
meaning, 2, 3, 25, 63, 67, 69, 81  
medicinal plants, 66, 87  
medicines, 52, 66, 67  
memory, 3, 69

**N**

native people, 70

*Ndamitso*, 16, 97  
*Ndasonkyara*, 51, 53, 54, 56  
Nupe, 1, 9, 18, 24, 25, 26, 30, 37,  
38, 39, 40, 62, 67, 82, 83, 85, 86,  
87, 88  
Nupe communities, 9, 18, 22, 34,  
67, 83, 84

**P**

perceptions, 61, 88  
place, 8  
pots, 20, 21, 30, 38, 88  
prospect and refuge, 57, 81

**R**

ritual, 55, 56, 85

**S**

sense of attachment, 22, 24, 25  
sense of pride, 24, 41  
senses of place, 4  
settlement, 57, 59, 72  
*shegi*, 36, 37, 38, 87  
socio-cultural, 2  
space, 8, 17, 25, 27, 30, 32, 33, 35,  
36, 37, 39, 56, 62, 63, 64, 82, 83,  
84, 85, 87, 88  
symbolic, 9, 34, 36, 69, 73, 87

**T**

tangible value, 57, 67, 69  
transactions, 2, 4, 8, 9, 20, 25, 41,  
72, 75, 84, 85, 86, 88  
trees, 30, 32, 45, 46, 57, 61, 62, 64,  
65, 66, 67, 83, 86, 87

**V**

values, 1, 2, 39, 43, 62, 64, 66, 67,  
71, 72, 74, 75, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88  
vernacular architecture, 36, 38, 41

**W**

*Wasa*, 54, 57

water, 30, 59, 61, 67, 68, 69, 84, 86  
Women, 30, 84

**Z**

*zhempa*, 22, 25, 30





